United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

JNITED STATES OF AMERICA			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Darnell Levell Lewis			Case Number: 1:09 Cr 117
acts re	In a quire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this	142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following case.
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describ offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence an offense for which a maximum term of impression.	is life imprisonment or death. risonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparate The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state since the date of conviction release of the defendant from
\boxtimes	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defend	te Findings (A) dant has committed an offense if ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act
\boxtimes	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption of	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions endant as required and the safety of the community.
		There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	te Findings (B) appear. anger the safety of another person or the community.
		Part II – Written Staten	nent of Reasons for Detention
	I fin	nd that the credible testimony and information subm	nitted at the hearing establish by a preponderance of the evidence that
		nt and counsel waived a detention hearing on the reif circumstances change.	ecord because of a parole hold. Defendant may move for a detention
ippeal. he Unit	ions f The ted S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attor acility separate, to the extent practicable, from pers defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opporture	Ins Regarding Detention Trace General or his designated representative for confinement in a sons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending nity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ppearance in connection with a court proceeding.
			•
April 20 Date	u, 200		/s/ Joseph G. Scoville Signature of Judge
- /-			Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge